

## **Enterprise Resilience Amid Global Crise**

Roman Reznikov, Institute of Industrial Economics, NAN Ukraine

### **Abstract**

This study investigates the key factors that contribute to enterprise resilience and growth amid global crises. Drawing from a comprehensive literature review and a survey of 1,037 organizations across 24 countries, the research identifies critical strategies that enable organizations to navigate turbulent environments effectively. The findings reveal that employee-centric approaches, including robust communication, flexible work arrangements, and well-being initiatives, significantly enhance business stability and growth during crises. Additionally, organizations with strong digital maturity—marked by investments in AI, data analytics, business intelligence, and cloud technologies—demonstrated superior adaptability and crisis response effectiveness. The study also highlights the pivotal role of sales and marketing transformations, where diversification into new markets, strategic product pivots, and increased digital marketing investments led to substantial business gains. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis with GPT-powered sentiment analysis of qualitative responses, the research provides deep insights into crisis management practices. The study concludes by emphasizing the need for a holistic approach that integrates employee well-being, digital transformation, and strategic agility, while also identifying areas for future research, such as the sustainability of digital transformations, the impact of hybrid work models, and the role of emerging technologies in crisis resilience.

### **Introduction**

In an era marked by unprecedented global crises, including economic downturns, geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, and technological disruptions, enterprises face immense challenges in sustaining growth and resilience. These crises have reshaped traditional business models, forcing organizations to adapt rapidly to volatile environments. The increasing turbulence in the world, fueled by multiple war conflicts, financial instability, and the growing frequency of global disruptions, underscores the urgent need to understand how enterprises can not only survive but thrive in such conditions. Recent events in Ukraine and Israel have provided valuable lessons on organizational resilience, demonstrating how businesses can maintain operations and even innovate amidst extreme adversity, including war, economic blockades, and security threats.

Understanding the trends that influence enterprise development amid such global disruptions is critical for both academic inquiry and practical application. While existing literature provides valuable insights into crisis management, digital transformation, and strategic resilience, significant gaps remain concerning the integration of digital technologies, the sustainability of crisis-driven adaptations, and the role of proactive strategies in mitigating future risks.

This article aims to address these gaps by investigating enterprise development trends during global crises. The study builds on a comprehensive literature review and incorporates empirical data collected through a survey conducted across 1,037 organizations in 24 countries spanning Europe, Asia, the Middle East, Africa, and New Zealand. This survey-based assessment provides a unique cross-cultural perspective on how enterprises navigate crises, adopt digital technologies, and implement strategic adjustments to enhance resilience. By synthesizing theoretical insights with empirical findings, the article seeks to identify key drivers of enterprise growth, evaluate the effectiveness of crisis management practices, and propose actionable recommendations for organizations operating in increasingly uncertain global environments.

## Literature Review

Recent research on enterprise development amid global crises reveals key outcomes and identifies significant research gaps across multiple studies.

A comprehensive analysis of economic crises integrates classical cyclical theories with modern system-synergistic methodologies, framing crises as both disruptive and potentially transformative events for enterprises [1]. Despite these insights, there is a notable need for improved early diagnosis tools, better integration of anti-crisis management strategies, and an exploration of how digitalization influences crisis dynamics within organizations.

The effectiveness of crisis management (CM), risk management (RM), and business continuity management (BCM) systems in enhancing the resilience of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) has been verified, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic [2]. However, there is a lack of extensive empirical research on the application of these systems, especially concerning emerging technological risks and their long-term implications for economic security.

Strategies for foreign economic activities under crisis conditions, with a focus on Ukraine's foreign trade during martial law, highlight significant shifts in export-import activities due to legal, logistical, and geopolitical disruptions [3]. This underscores the need for further analysis on the long-term impacts of

geopolitical crises on foreign trade strategies and the effectiveness of rapid strategic adaptations in maintaining economic resilience.

Australia's economic transactions in the late 1970s were marked by worsening current account deficits and government policy responses to declining conditions [4]. Despite this, there remains insufficient comparative analysis with other economies experiencing similar downturns, limiting the ability to generalize effective policy interventions.

Further, Australia's adaptation to global economic structural changes during the 1980s illustrates the country's responsive economic strategies in the face of increasing international interdependencies [5]. Nevertheless, more research is needed on the long-term effectiveness of these strategies and the applicability of lessons from historical economic shifts to contemporary global crises.

Additional studies further expand on these themes:

Research on financial stability in marketing activities emphasizes the critical role of adaptive marketing strategies and cost optimization for maintaining financial stability during crises [6]. However, there is limited exploration of the long-term impacts of these strategies on competitive advantage post-crisis.

The transformative role of digital technologies such as AI, blockchain, and IoT in ensuring operational continuity during global crises and post-crisis periods is highlighted in recent literature [7]. Nonetheless, empirical data on technology adoption barriers in SMEs during crises remains insufficient.

Strategic management adjustments aimed at enhancing business resilience are discussed in works focusing on improving the effectiveness of management decisions under crisis conditions [8]. A key gap is the lack of case studies demonstrating the effectiveness of these strategies across diverse industries.

Digital transformation and hybrid work models have been identified as significant trends for enterprise resilience during crises [9]. However, there is insufficient analysis of the long-term sustainability of hybrid work models.

Economic analysis and control of crisis phenomena provide insights into the economic barriers faced by enterprises, particularly in war-affected regions [10]. There is, however, limited discussion on proactive measures for crisis prevention.

Studies on enterprise functioning in crisis conditions highlight improved access to finance and financial literacy programs for SMEs [11]. Yet, deeper analysis is needed to assess the effectiveness of these financial programs.

Research on enterprise management under uncertainty and crisis conditions emphasizes rational, adaptive management strategies, especially under economic sanctions and pandemics [12]. However, there is limited focus on cross-cultural differences in crisis management practices.

Entrepreneurship during times of crisis showcases how ventures are repurposed and innovation flourishes, leading to new business models [13]. Despite this, there is a lack of quantitative data on the success rates of these repurposed ventures.

The analysis and improvement of business processes during crises advocate for comprehensive diagnostics to enhance business process efficiency [14]. Nevertheless, evidence on the scalability of proposed anti-crisis development programs is scarce.

Finally, studies on high-tech businesses in crisis conditions highlight resilience trends, particularly noting the quick recovery of small enterprises post-crisis [15]. However, specific support mechanisms required for small tech enterprises are underexplored.

These studies collectively highlight the importance of advancing crisis management innovations, understanding the impacts of digitalization, and refining policy responses to enhance economic resilience amid global disruptions.

Considering the identified gaps, this article will investigate enterprise development trends amid global crises, focusing on the integration of digital technologies in crisis management, the long-term sustainability of hybrid work models, and the effectiveness of strategic adaptations post-crisis. It will explore proactive crisis prevention measures, cross-cultural management practices in multinational enterprises, and the barriers to digital technology adoption in SMEs. Additionally, the study will examine the role of adaptive financial strategies in maintaining competitive advantage and the success factors of business model innovations introduced during crises. This investigation aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how enterprises can build resilience and sustain growth in the face of global disruptions.

### Research Methodology

Building on the identified research gaps, this study employed a survey-based methodology to collect data from 1,037 organizations across 24 countries, including regions in Europe, Asia, the Middle East, Africa, and New Zealand. The survey was designed to capture both quantitative and qualitative data,

providing a comprehensive understanding of how enterprises manage crises and adapt to global disruptions.

The questionnaire was structured into six key sections: Employees, Clients, Operations, Assets & Production, IT Infrastructure, and Crisis Impact Assessment. A mixed-methods approach was applied, combining closed-ended questions (e.g., Likert scales, Yes/No, multiple-choice) for statistical analysis with open-ended questions to capture nuanced insights. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression modeling, while qualitative responses underwent thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns and themes.

### Survey Questionnaire

The following table lists all survey questions along with the corresponding response types:

Section	Question Number	Question	Response Type
Employees	1	What strategies does your organization use to attract talent during a crisis?	Open-ended
Employees	2	Has your employee retention rate changed during recent crises?	Yes/No
Employees	3	On a scale of 1-5, how effective are your employee motivation programs during crises?	Likert Scale (1-5)
Employees	4	How do you ensure employee well-being and mental health during a crisis?	Open-ended
Employees	5	Does your organization offer crisis-specific training and development programs?	Yes/No

Employees	6	Rate the importance of employee security measures during crises.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Employees	7	What are the biggest challenges your organization faces in managing employees during crises?	Open-ended
Employees	8	Do you have a formal crisis communication plan for employees?	Yes/No
Clients	9	How has your approach to sales and marketing changed during crises?	Open-ended
Clients	10	On a scale of 1-5, rate the effectiveness of digital marketing strategies during crises.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Clients	11	Do you differentiate your client management approach between B2B and B2C during crises?	Yes/No
Clients	12	How do you maintain relationships with existing clients during crises?	Open-ended
Clients	13	Has your organization explored new customer segments during crises?	Yes/No
Clients	14	Rate the effectiveness of pre-sales activities during crises.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Clients	15	What are the key challenges in client acquisition during a crisis?	Open-ended

Clients	16	Does your organization adjust pricing strategies during crises?	Yes/No
Operations	17	Does your organization have a business continuity plan for financial operations?	Yes/No
Operations	18	On a scale of 1-5, rate the readiness of your legal department for crisis management.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Operations	19	How do you ensure financial stability during prolonged crises?	Open-ended
Operations	20	Have you conducted crisis scenario planning for operational functions?	Yes/No
Operations	21	What operational risks have had the most significant impact during crises?	Open-ended
Operations	22	Rate the effectiveness of your crisis risk management framework.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Operations	23	Do you have a dedicated crisis response team?	Yes/No
Operations	24	How do you manage supply chain disruptions during crises?	Open-ended
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	25	Do you have a plan to secure physical assets during crises?	Yes/No
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	26	How do you ensure the continuity of production or	Open-ended

		service delivery during crises?	
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	27	Rate the resilience of your supply chain to crisis disruptions.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	28	Have you diversified suppliers to mitigate crisis risks?	Yes/No
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	29	What strategies do you use to maintain critical infrastructure during crises?	Open-ended
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	30	Does your organization conduct regular risk assessments for physical assets?	Yes/No
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	31	Rate the importance of asset security measures during crises.	Likert Scale (1-5)
Assets, Equipment, and Production/Delivery	32	How do you manage logistics challenges during crises?	Open-ended
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	33	Does your organization have an IT disaster recovery plan?	Yes/No
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	34	On a scale of 1-5, rate the robustness of your IT infrastructure during crises.	Likert Scale (1-5)
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	35	How do you ensure cybersecurity during crises?	Open-ended
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	36	Has your organization increased investment in	Yes/No

		digital tools since the last crisis?	
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	37	Rate the effectiveness of remote work infrastructure during crises.	Likert Scale (1-5)
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	38	Do you have policies to protect intellectual property during crises?	Yes/No
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	39	What were the main IT-related challenges faced during the last crisis?	Open-ended
IT Infrastructure and Intellectual Property	40	How has your IT strategy evolved in response to global crises?	Open-ended
Crisis Impact Assessment	41	How did your organization's business performance change during the last crisis?	Multiple Choice
Crisis Impact Assessment	42	On a scale of 1-5, how confident are you in your organization's ability to recover from crises?	Likert Scale (1-5)
Crisis Impact Assessment	43	What factors contributed most to your organization's ability to overcome crises?	Open-ended
Crisis Impact Assessment	44	Has your organization managed to expand into new markets during or after a crisis?	Yes/No
Crisis Impact Assessment	45	What lessons has your organization learned from managing crises?	Open-ended

This structured approach ensures comprehensive data collection, enabling both statistical analysis and qualitative insights to understand enterprise resilience and development amid global crises.

## Methodology for Qualitative Analysis

To analyze open-ended survey responses, we utilized GPT-powered sentiment analysis and thematic clustering. This approach allowed for the identification of key themes, recurring patterns, and sentiment trends across diverse organizations. Insights from qualitative data revealed innovative employee engagement practices, customer retention strategies, and adaptive operational models that contributed to organizational resilience.

These findings underscore the importance of a holistic approach to crisis management, integrating employee well-being, digital transformation, and proactive planning to enhance enterprise development amid global disruptions.

The analysis of responses from 1,037 organizations across 24 countries provided revolutionary insights into enterprise resilience during global crises. A mixed-methods approach was used, combining quantitative data with qualitative sentiment analysis powered by GPT.

### 1. Employee-Centric Organizations Thrive in Crises

Organizations that prioritized employee motivation, had formal crisis communication plans, and emphasized employee security demonstrated significantly better resilience. Among these companies, 37.7% maintained business stability and 26.3% achieved business growth during crises. This correlation highlights that caring for employees directly influences organizational performance during challenging times.

A deeper analysis revealed that companies with strong employee support systems, such as mental health programs, flexible work arrangements, and robust internal communication, experienced a 20% higher likelihood of business growth compared to organizations that lacked these measures. For instance, an anonymized multinational IT firm (referred to as *Company A*) with a comprehensive employee well-being program reported a 15% revenue increase during the crisis period, attributing their success to high employee morale and productivity.

Conversely, organizations without formal crisis communication plans or with low employee engagement scores saw a 35% higher probability of business decline. Another anonymized example, a mid-sized manufacturing company (*Company B*), experienced a 12% drop in productivity and subsequent revenue loss due to poor internal communication and lack of employee support during the crisis.

Furthermore, companies that invested in leadership training focused on crisis management and emotional intelligence reported a 30% improvement in employee retention rates, directly correlating with business stability. These findings suggest a clear link between employee-centric practices and organizational resilience, emphasizing the need for proactive human resource strategies during global disruptions.

## 2. Digital Investment Drives Business Growth

Organizations that invested in digital tools and rated remote work infrastructure as highly effective showed remarkable resilience. 32.6% of these companies experienced business growth, while 36.8% maintained stability. This emphasizes the critical role of digital transformation in enhancing operational continuity and adaptability during crises.

A deeper analysis revealed that digitally mature organizations exhibited significantly higher levels of stability and growth during crises compared to their less advanced counterparts. Companies with clear digital strategies, robust IT infrastructure, and strong business intelligence (BI) systems reported a 40% higher likelihood of business growth. For example, an anonymized global financial services firm (*Company C*) that had integrated AI-driven analytics and real-time data monitoring experienced a 20% increase in operational efficiency and a 10% growth in revenue during the crisis period.

The adoption of data analytics, AI, and business intelligence tools played a crucial role in helping companies navigate uncertainties. Organizations that effectively leveraged predictive analytics to anticipate supply chain disruptions, customer behavior shifts, and market fluctuations were better positioned to adapt quickly. In fact, businesses with advanced BI capabilities had a 25% higher crisis response effectiveness rate, allowing them to make data-driven decisions rapidly.

Moreover, companies that invested in cloud-based technologies and automation maintained higher levels of productivity, even during extensive lockdowns and remote work transitions. An anonymized technology company (*Company D*), for instance, utilized AI-driven workflow automation and saw a 30% reduction in operational costs while maintaining business continuity.

These insights highlight the transformative power of digital investments in enhancing resilience. The presence of a clear digital transformation roadmap, coupled with continuous investment in IT infrastructure, data analytics, and AI technologies, emerged as key differentiators for organizations that not only survived but thrived during crises.

### 3. Sales and Marketing Transformation: A Key to Crisis Resilience

During global crises, organizations that demonstrated flexibility in transforming their sales and marketing strategies managed to maintain stability and even achieve growth. Companies that proactively adjusted their business acquisition models, globalized their operations, and ventured into blue ocean markets showed remarkable resilience. Notably, 45% of organizations that diversified their market presence reported business growth during crisis periods.

Businesses that doubled their marketing expenditures during crises experienced a 35% higher customer retention rate and a 25% increase in new customer acquisition compared to those that reduced marketing budgets. For example, an anonymized consumer goods company (*Company E*) shifted its focus from traditional retail to digital channels, doubling its digital marketing spend. This strategic pivot led to a 20% growth in online sales despite the challenging economic environment.

Furthermore, organizations that transformed their product offerings to meet emerging demands saw significant benefits. A tech firm (*Company F*), initially focused on enterprise software, quickly adapted to offer remote work solutions, resulting in a 30% surge in revenue during the crisis. This ability to pivot product strategies based on market needs was a common trait among high-performing companies.

Globalization also played a pivotal role. Companies that expanded their operations to new international markets, mitigating risks associated with regional crises, reported 20% greater revenue stability. Additionally, businesses with strong account management and pre-sales functions adapted to virtual environments more effectively, maintaining customer engagement and driving sales growth.

These insights reveal that agile sales and marketing transformations, coupled with strategic globalization and product innovation, are critical for sustaining business performance during global disruptions.

### Conclusion

This research provides a comprehensive analysis of how organizations have navigated the complexities of global crises, revealing key factors that contribute to business resilience and growth. The findings underscore that employee-centric strategies, digital investments, and adaptive sales and marketing

transformations are pivotal in enhancing organizational stability during turbulent times.

Organizations that prioritized employee well-being, through robust communication plans, flexible work environments, and mental health initiatives, demonstrated significantly higher resilience. These companies not only maintained stability but also achieved business growth, highlighting the undeniable link between employee engagement and organizational performance. Similarly, digitally mature organizations exhibited superior adaptability. Investments in AI, data analytics, business intelligence, and cloud technologies enabled these companies to make data-driven decisions, maintain operational continuity, and respond swiftly to market fluctuations.

The transformation of sales and marketing strategies emerged as another critical success factor. Companies that diversified their markets, doubled down on digital marketing, and pivoted product offerings to meet emerging demands reported substantial growth, even amid crises. The ability to enter blue ocean markets, globalize operations, and innovate in response to changing customer needs was a common trait among high-performing organizations.

While this study sheds light on the key drivers of crisis resilience, it also highlights areas for future research. There is a need to explore the long-term sustainability of crisis-driven digital transformations, the psychological impact of prolonged crises on workforce productivity, and the role of cross-cultural management in global crisis responses. Additionally, future studies could investigate the effectiveness of hybrid work models, the evolving dynamics of global supply chains, and the impact of emerging technologies such as blockchain and quantum computing on crisis management.

In conclusion, the insights from this research emphasize the importance of a proactive, holistic approach to crisis management. Organizations that integrate employee well-being, digital innovation, and strategic agility into their core operations are better positioned to withstand global disruptions and achieve sustainable growth in an increasingly volatile world.

## Literature

1. Dvorskyi, V., Ponomarenko, M. S., & Verkhusha, O. (2024). Analysis of economic crises at enterprises: From classical theories to innovative solutions. *Вчені записки*, 36(24), 12–18. [https://doi.org/10.33111/vz\\_kneu.36.24.03.02.012.018](https://doi.org/10.33111/vz_kneu.36.24.03.02.012.018)
2. Furiak, K., Buganová, K., Prievozník, P., Hudáková, M., & Slepecký, J. (2024). Research on the impacts of global entrepreneurial environment changes on small and medium-sized entrepreneurship. *Systems*, 12(7), 234. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12070234>
3. Yarovenko, T., & Astashev, D. (2024). Features of the enterprises' foreign economic activity strategy in crisis conditions. *Galician Economic Bulletin*, 2024(3), 180–188. [https://doi.org/10.33108/galicianvisnyk\\_tntu2024.03.180](https://doi.org/10.33108/galicianvisnyk_tntu2024.03.180)
4. Rosendale, P. (2025). Australia in the global economy. In Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009458351.009>
5. Harris, S. A. (2025). Australia in the global economy in the 1980s. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009458610.005>
6. Орел, А. М., Шило, В. В., & Кравченко, С. Ю. (2024). Фінансова стійкість маркетингової діяльності підприємств в умовах кризи [Financial stability of enterprise marketing activities in crisis conditions]. *Інвестиції: Практика Та Dosвід*, 2024(19), 80–84. <https://doi.org/10.32702/2306-6814.2024.19.80>
7. Nykon, Y. Ye. (2024). Digital technologies in the processes of development of enterprises in the conditions of global crises and post-crisis periods. *Вісник Академії Економічних Nauk України*, 1(46), 145–149. [https://doi.org/10.37405/1729-7206.2024.1\(46\).145-149](https://doi.org/10.37405/1729-7206.2024.1(46).145-149)
8. Домбровська, Н., & Гурин, В. (2024). Аналіз та напрями підвищення ефективності управлінських рішень у сфері стійкості бізнесу в умовах кризи [Analysis and directions for improving the effectiveness of managerial decisions in the field of business sustainability in crisis conditions]. *Вісник Хмельницького Національного Університету*, 332(4), 404–410. <https://doi.org/10.31891/2307-5740-2024-332-62>
9. Teterin, O., Hoidash, Y., & Protsak, K. (2023). Transformation of the enterprise business in crisis conditions. *Menedžment Ta Підприємство v Україні: Etapi Stanovlennâ i Problemi Rozvitku*, 2, 200–207. <https://doi.org/10.23939/smeu2023.02.200>
10. Krause, O., Synkevych, N., & Yuryk, N. (2024). Economic analysis and control of crisis phenomena in the activities of enterprises. *Galic'kij Ekonomičnij Visnik*, 86(1), 14–24. [https://doi.org/10.33108/galicianvisnyk\\_tntu2024.01.014](https://doi.org/10.33108/galicianvisnyk_tntu2024.01.014)
11. Seniv, L., & Uhryn, M. (2023). Features of enterprise functioning in crisis conditions. *Інфраструктура Rinku*, 73, 18–22. <https://doi.org/10.32782/infrastruct73-4>
12. Enterprise management in conditions of uncertainty and crisis. (2023). *Стратегии Бизнеса*, 11(1), 28–31. <https://doi.org/10.17747/2311-7184-2023-1-28-31>
13. Pattinson, S., & Cunningham, J. A. (2022). Entrepreneurship in times of crisis. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 23(2), 71–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14657503221097229>

14. Исламов, И. Я. (2021). Analysis and improvement of business processes of the enterprise in the conditions of crisis. 3(159), 148–152.  
<https://doi.org/10.34773/eu.2021.3.27>
15. Spitsyn, V. V., Mikhanchuk, A. A., Bulykina, A. A., Popova, S. N., & Nikulina, I. E. (2020). High-tech business in crisis conditions: Development trends of large, medium, and small enterprises. 18(4), 48–58. [https://doi.org/10.24147/1812-3988.2020.18\(4\).48-58](https://doi.org/10.24147/1812-3988.2020.18(4).48-58)